International Journal of Advanced Business Studies
Volume 4. Issue 4. (2025)
ISSN: 2940-2735

Full length article

Challenges and Opportunities Associated with Effective Technology Adoption and Utilization, from the Perspective of Management

Kabutu Chuunga [1]*, Mubanga Mpundu [2], Abubaker Qutieshat [3]

[1] PhD Student, Institute of Distance Education, The University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia,
E-mail: chuungatech@gmail.com

[2] Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences, University of the Western Cape, P/Bag X17, Robert Sobukwe Road, Belville, 7535, Cape Town, South Africa, Email: mmpundu@uwc.ac.za

[3] ODC, Oman Associate Member of Staff and Honorary Researcher, University of Dundee, UK,
Email: aqutieshat@dundee.ac.uk ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3569-6576

*Corresponding author

Article Info

Received: 13.05.2025
Accepted: 02.06.2025
Available online: 30.07.2025

Keywords:
Technology Adoption, Technological change, Management Strategies, Organizational Change, Digital Transformation, Technological Challenges

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.59857/tlnd6380

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the challenges and opportunities associated with the effective adoption and utilization of technology from a management perspective. The study examines key factors influencing technology implementation, including leadership strategies, organizational culture, and resource allocation. Findings highlight major challenges such as resistance to change, skills gaps, and financial constraints, which hinder seamless technology adoption. Conversely, the study identifies opportunities such as improved operational efficiency, enhanced decision-making, and competitive advantage when technology is effectively integrated. The analysis underscores the role of proactive management in mitigating challenges and leveraging opportunities through strategic planning, continuous training, and stakeholder engagement. The paper provides insights into best practices that organizations can adopt to maximize the benefits of technology while overcoming common implementation barriers. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of how management can drive technological transformation for long-term success.

1. Introduction

The digital revolution of the 21st century is changing the face of businesses and organizations around the world. The speed at which technology is developing keeps changing how businesses operate, innovate and compete in high-tech environments. Adoption of cutting-edge technologies is now a strategic requirement rather than an option. Madsen et al., (2005) emphasize that in order for businesses to stay relevant in a market that is extremely competitive, they must constantly incorporate new technologies. Organizations must negotiate a changing environment where creativity and adaptability are critical due to the challenges and opportunities presented by the rapid pace of technological innovation.

The global demand for technological advancement has accelerated the adoption of new innovations, particularly in emerging markets. Organizations are increasingly under pressure to modernize and digitize their operations to remain competitive and meet the demands of stakeholders. The adoption of these advanced technologies, however, requires more than just financial investments. It necessitates a fundamental transformation in organizational processes, culture, and leadership practices. As Aslam et al. (2018) note, while new technologies offer immense potential to drive efficiency and growth, their successful implementation requires skilful navigation of the accompanying complexities.

Despite the opportunities that come along with advanced technologies, many organizations fail to implement technological changes effectively. Research underscores the multifaceted nature of these failures. Figueroa-Flores et al. (2020), highlight that the most common causes of failure include poorly defined projects, inadequate technological infrastructure, lack of effective consultancy, incomplete or insufficient training, and resistance to change. These challenges are often compounded by inefficient management practices, which exacerbate existing barriers. Vitanova (2021) emphasize that overconfidence among managers and misalignment between leadership goals and employee needs are among the primary contributors to failure. Additionally, unrealistic expectations, unforeseen costs, and hasty decision-making are significant impediments to the successful implementation of technological change initiatives (McConnell, 2006;Kotter, 1996).

The purpose of this study is to explore the challenges and opportunities associated with effective technology adoption and utilization in organizations**.** To achieve this objective, the paper adopted a qualitative multiple case study in order to determine the challenges and opportunities associated with technology adoption and utilization in three organizations in Zambia. This was achieved through conducting interviews to managers and ICT professional who are involved in technology implementation in the three organizations. The paper starts by explaining the challenges and opportunities associated with technological change and the impact they have on organizations. This is followed by the explanation of the methodology and then the conclusion and recommendation for future research.

2 Literature Review

The literature surrounding technology adoption has evolved significantly over the years, reflecting the changing landscape of both technology and organizational management.

2.1 Overview of Existing Research

Businesses all over the world are now experiencing rapid changes which means they need to respond accordingly at every level to the technological changes, market trends, and overall expectations of consumers. Managing processes in an efficient manner is extremely important to be relevant. There are several theories that have been developed to assist leaders in this process. Some are more popular such as the Kurt Lewin Change Management Model, Kotter 8 Step Change Model and the ADKAR Model. Lewin's model, focuses on the psychological perspectives of change and is composed of three steps which are unfreezing, change, and refreezing (Lewin, 1951). In Kotter's 8 Step Change Model, there is a process to follow towards change including sense of urgency, enabling action, and building commitment (Kotter, 1996). On the other hand, the ADKAR Model focuses on different individual transitions and the changes are defined in five elements: awareness, desire, knowledge, ability, and reinforcement (Prosci, 2023).

2.2 Challenges of Technology Adoption

Resistance to Change

The problem of resistance to change within organizations is very common, especially when it comes to upgrading of technology. Employee resistance can be caused by a number of factors, such as company culture, perceived negative effects on work duties, lack of skills and knowledge, and fear of losing their job (Chiguvi, 2022; Spring, 2021). Kotter and Schlesinger (2008) noted that, resistance can occur at many levels, including individual, group and organizational, which signifies how broad the issue is and why a strong response to it is needed. Organizations need to be aware of the underlying factors of resistance and the implications it brings with technological changes.

A big aspect of resistance stems from an employee's fear of the unknown and how new systems and machines will affect their position and day to day tasks. This concern can become worse with poor communication from the top and middle management as the employees do not understand the reasoning and positive impacts of the change. Zafar and Naveed, (2014) explained that resistance to change is often a function of poor communication. In an instance where employees don't have much information about the change, they tend to have sceptical views which can stem from not being clear as to why certain changes are being brought forth in the first place (Musaigwa, 2023). Additionally, previous negative encounters or highly sceptical attitudes towards technology can also result in such resistance. When confronting identical initiatives, employees might become suspicious and overly cautious. This ultimately leads to fostering a culture of distrust which can be very difficult to overcome.

The consequences of an opposition to change can be incredibly costly and complex at the same time. Most employees often delay the implementation of new systems and technologies when it requires investing their time and resources. Oreg (2006) explains that it is known that there is a sedimentation phenomenon and an emotional workplace inertia, which creates a burden to today's industrial organizations. This resistance slows productivity and might assist in making the organizational pushback within employee friction less costly. In times when there is high employee resistance, along with low output productivity, a vicious cycle of training and budgeting for management should be put in place (Zoe Talent Solutions, 2023). Additionally, psychological disengagement of an employee tends to happen when an individual feels undermined, resulting in a drop in productivity and motivation. To add fuel to the fire, most employees lack the motivation to provide their utmost effort without organization support.

Skill Gaps

A lack of appropriate skills and support is one of the most critical obstacles to the effective achievement of technological changes within an organization. Companies need to ensure that their employees are resourced with the adequate skills and knowledge to enable them to use the new systems effectively. Without proper training, employees will find it difficult to use the new technology, which subsequently leads to frustration and lower self-confidence. According to Carrillo et al., (2017), training can help employees understand the change process, develop coping strategies and strengthen their resilience to successfully manage the change.

The primary effects of insufficient training can come in the form of diminished productivity and higher levels of opposition among employees. Some staff may neglect to use some aspects of the new technology, and instead, continue to make use of obsolete processes, which undermines the entire change initiative. The lack of continued support systems, such as help desks or mentoring systems, can worsen the problem, causing

employees to feel more stressed and helpless. Technology acceptance in an organization requires user support as Venkatesh et al., (2012) argue that user support is a key driver of successful technology acceptance and is bound on the support the organization is willing to offer. Those that do not offer the support risk alienating users, leading to more inefficiency within the organization.

In the long-term perspective, any lack of proper training and support could damage an organization's ability to grow and innovate. Most employees do not consider themselves skilled enough to utilize new technology, and as such, the innovations that could result in improved efficiency and customer service are not fully utilized. Ngantchou (2016) indicate that organizations that allocate adequate resources towards training and support tend to have higher rates of proper technology utilization and the organization improvement thereof. On the other hand, insufficient funding and supporting these initiatives can put an organization far behind their competitors when the opposite effect was desired. There needs to be a commitment on the part of all levels of management to ensure that extensive training and support is provided if there is to be any meaningful change or improvement in the adoption of changes in technology within the organization.

Inadequate Resource Allocation

Ineffective or inadequate allocation of resources stand out as some of the most crucial constraints to the effective management of technological changes in the organization. To efficiently achieve the desired transformational outcomes with technology, a business must use several resources in a reasonable amount. When organizations do not allocate sufficient funds as required, there is bound to be some impact and challenges on the effectiveness and sustenance of the technological initiatives. As Kotter (1996) explains, proper resource allocation is vital for the success of any technology project, reminds us of the vital resources that must be committed throughout the change efforts.

Some of the more apparent ramifications of inadequate resource allocation is the lack of required training and employee support. There needs to be a greater level of time and resources allocated toward training in order to ease the transition to newer technologies or, as employees will become ill equipped to transition to utilize new technologies. This results in increased frustration and a lack of productivity and increased resistance by employees as they are tasked with learning new means of accomplishing functions within their working environment. Employees without adequate training resources are more likely to fail at completion of successful implementation. As stated by (Carrillo et al., 2017), insufficient training and support can lead to significant drops in user competency and engagement, demonstrates the importance of commitment of resources during the processes of change.

Failure to Involve Key Stakeholders

Failing to include important stakeholders for a certain technological change means that success cannot be guaranteed for such undertaking, and ultimately it will be rendered as unsuccessful. Employees, departmental managers, clients and even providers of technology are all stakeholders that impact the fabric and success of a particular technology. Organizations that do not take these groups into account in planning and executing lose the ability to engage with them which might decrease support for engagement. As Bryson (2004) notes, engaging stakeholders is critical for gaining insights and fostering buy-in another point that reinforces the idea of collaboration in change all management processes.

One simple and immediate impact of ignoring stakeholders is resistant to change by stakeholders. If significant stakeholders like employees feel cut off from the decision making, the system might raise eyebrows. Technology scepticism is certain to rise along with unnecessary hesitation towards systems changes, eventually making the entire transition tedious and affording no value. Research show that organizations that work without relying directly on actual stakeholders tend to receive more pushback overtime. Key stakeholders have to be involved, or else the initiatives will be faced with confusion and obstruction (Kotter 1996). Failure to engage stakeholders can hinder efforts and result in implementation failures due to a lack of sincere interest and motivation.

Additionally, the phenomena of stakeholder disengagement can have effects over the long term by misaligning and underutilizing the new technology in the processes. Not consulting important stakeholders when developing technological solutions often results in poor strategies that are not helpful or compatible with the actual working processes. Such practices can incur losses, inhibit productivity, and produce failure at an organizational level. Without stakeholder buy in and involvement, organizations become fragmented and fail to put resources to work (Cummings & Worley, 2014). In order to avoid these consequences, it is critical for organizations to involve key stakeholders in every step of technological change so that their experiences and concerns are adequately addressed prefeasibility during the planning phase and afterwards during execution.

Lack of Continuous Evaluation and Adaptation

Failure to continuously evaluate and adapt during the change process may cripple an organization’s ability to achieve the desired results. In today’s world where technology and market conditions change rapidly, organizations have to not just implement a technological change but also evaluate it. This process of constant evaluation ensures that unexpected issues and opportunities that may emerge after the primary implementation are adequately addressed. Moreover, as Kotter (1996) points out that successful change requires ongoing evaluation and adjustment, echoing the importance of synthesis within the processes of change management in technology implementation.

The lack of change evaluation mechanisms has a number of implications including the continued existence of inefficiencies and problems that could easily have been remediated. Organizations implementing new technology without evaluation mechanisms do not take employee challenges, performance issues, or functionality problems into account. This situation is not only bound to lower morale and productivity but also frustrate employees stuck with deficient systems. Many studies highlight that not evaluating new systems and technologies leads to lack of productivity and effectiveness, value, or even higher rates of failure in most implementations. Ngantchou (2016) indicates that continuous evaluation is crucial in pinpointing problems and ensuring technology remains effective and accentuated the need of a technology evaluation strategy. The quote considers evaluating technology effectiveness as a critical evaluation strategy.

Moreover, the perpetuation of disregard for adaptation might spelled death as far as the competitive edge or organizational agility is concerned. For instance, in today’s age, a business entity which do not churn out technological improvements and customer suggestions will definitely lose out to competitors that do. Cummings and Worthy (2014) on the other hand, underlined that organizations that adapt and evolve are better positioned to leverage new technologies and meet changing market demands. If the company does not pivot based on current evaluations, there's a risk that its problems may go unsolved, its resources and efforts undeterred, and its opportunities for expansion and innovation squandered. For any technological implementation to be truly

productive, it is crucial for organizations to foster a culture of continuous improvement through regular evaluation and feedback together with strategic change for heightened organizational effectiveness.

Poor Change Management Practices

Ineffective change management in organizations can result in risk of poor adoption or failure of integration of new technology. This can happen because people do not accept change due to resistance that arises on a psychological and sociocultural level. As Kotter (1996) states, successful change efforts require a systematic approach that addresses both the technical and human elements of the transition. Change management requires a clear vision, effective implementation, stakeholder mapping, focused communication, training, and ultimately, a change within the organizational culture, which is always the most important aspect of transition.

When people expect decisions to be taken on their behalf rather than them being involved, they are bound to be dissatisfied, leading towards resentment and criticism. Employees view their co-workers as stakeholders of change, thus the fear of becoming a victim in this process leads to the employees becoming resistant self-fulfilling prophecies. Change becomes impossible if there is perceived in workability or lack of appropriate and supporting communication. Non effective implementation of integration becomes detrimental for day-to-day processes and does lead to engaging levels of productivity. Employees disengage and become cynical towards the organization that doesn't make constructive efforts in taking a change. Also, employees may not be sufficiently trained to utilize modern tools and technologies, which increases resistance and negatively influences efficiency if there are no structured change processes in place.

Ineffective change management may lead to unreliable long-term consequences. Most organizations that do not employ proper methodologies for change management tend to have continuous problems in supporting and exploiting newly implemented technologies. This leads to insufficient processes, unused technologies and unmet expectations. This results in wasteful expenditure making the organization miss opportunities to improve operations. Cummings and Worley (2014) argue that improperly managed changes can bring unreasonable disruptions which can affect the ability of any organization to compete in the market. Additionally, a weaker set of management processes can create an environment of fear and suspicion which in turn impacts innovation and responsiveness negatively at the team level. For organizations to appropriately deal with technological change implementations, change management has to be systematic and focus on people rather than organization boundaries to ensure alignment, engagement and sustainability.

2.3 Opportunities associated with Technology Adoption

Technology adoption provides numerous benefits for organizations, enabling them to enhance efficiency, improve decision-making, strengthen customer engagement, gain a competitive advantage, and foster collaboration. As industries continue to digitize, leveraging modern technologies has become essential for achieving sustainable growth and staying ahead in the competitive landscape.

Enhanced Efficiency

The adoption of technology in any working setting leads to improved efficiency. Automation and digitization streamline operations while human errors are greatly reduced. Repetitive processes are taken care of by robotic process automation (RPA), artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML), allowing workers to dedicate their attention towards more strategic activities. By minimizing the time spent on routine tasks, organizations

are able to improve modern workforce efficiency by reallocating human resources to more valuable functions (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

According to Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014), automation improves the speed and precision of operational procedures, as well as enhancing their cost efficiency. Manufacturing is one of the industries which benefits the most, as automated assembly lines expedite production and enable a high output with minimal human effort. Smart factories utilize IoT connected devices with predictive maintenance to ensure peak operational performance of the machines, drastically reducing downtime, which is otherwise known as low efficiency.
Artificial intelligence chatbots as well as virtual assistants, help improve the efficiency in service delivery and response time of customer inquiries in many organizations. Institutions like banks have implemented digital banking services where customers no longer have to visit physical branches which enhances operational efficiency for the businesses. Logistics companies also utilize AI-driven route optimization software, which helps improving the speed of deliveries while also reducing fuel consumption. With the integration of these technologies, businesses are able to save costs while also improving the efficiency of workflows and operational performance.

Improved Decision-Making

The application of big data analytics helps companies make better informed decisions, leading to improved strategic planning and operational efficiency. Due to this improvement in technology, businesses are able to detect trends, evaluate risks, and make decisions based on real time data within seconds. According to Davenport and Ronanki (2018), allocation of resources becomes easier and risk mitigation more effective because predictive analytics enhances forecasting accuracy significantly.

Real-time data analytics allow companies to respond to new market trends due to the Internet of Things (IoT) and cloud-enabled systems. For instance, Amazon uses AI to increase sales as its recommendation engine personalizes products for customers and improves overall satisfaction. Also, financial institutions use machine learning to identify fraudulent transactions and improve security (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014).
In the health sector, predictive analytics help in diagnosing diseases, improves patient outcomes, and helps to optimize the allocation of hospital resources. AI-powered diagnostic tools are employed by hospitals and clinics to analyse medical images at a much faster rate than human doctors and flag any abnormalities. Public health bodies also monitor disease outbreaks using data analytics to allocate their resources appropriately (Topol, 2019).

The use of data-informed decisions enables firms to improve productivity, generate more revenues, and minimize operational risks. Companies that adopt analytics for decision making enjoy a competitive edge allowing them to forecast market demands and sustain their competitiveness.

Better Customer Engagement

Technological advancements foster personalized interactions with customers, transforming the business world. Digital tools like customer relationship management (CRM) systems, AI chatbots, and omnichannel communication systems have changed the nature of consumer-business relationships. In modern society, consumers demand services to be fast, personalized, tailored to their needs, and accessible, all of which can be achieved with the right technology.

Personalized approaches give enterprises a competitive edge, which in turn boosts customer satisfaction by catering to their expectations (Lemon and Verhoef, 2016). For instance, Netflix and Spotify recommend content based on what users have previously watched or listened to. This not only increases usage but also cultivates loyalty among clients. Likewise, Amazon utilizes big data to recommend other products to customers, enhancing their shopping experience.

Mobile apps and social media have given businesses the ability to interact with their customers instantly, providing proper assistance and feedback in real-time. With AI chatbots available on Facebook Messenger, Facebook, WhatsApp, and company pages, consumers no longer have to experience lengthy waiting times as they can receive instant responses. Feedback analysis tools allow companies to monitor what consumers say about their products on social media, helping brands enhance their marketing strategies as well as brand reputation (Bal, et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the development of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies have increased customer engagement in fields like retail and real estate. With the help of AR, applying virtual dress fittings, as well as remote viewing of real estate properties is possible. By adopting technology-driven engagement strategies, associations can obtain deeper connections with their customers which improves retention, boosts sales, ensuring enduring brand allegiance.

Competitive Advantage

A technology strategy well-implemented gives an organization an edge over competitors by promoting innovation, agility, and unrivalled service offerings (Tarkian, 2019). Businesses that leverage emerging technologies are able to further set themselves apart from their competitors by providing distinct products, quicker services, and an enhanced overall customer experience. According to Porter and Kramer (2011), smart products, it enabled devices, and other digital services facilitate differentiation in business.

A vivid example is Tesla, which is famously known for allowing its customers to remotely update software on their electric cars. Over-the-air (OTA) updates dynamically improve functionality and extend vehicle usefulness, offering additional benefits to customers without the need to visit service centres (Macri, 2018). This feature differentiates Tesla from other automakers, who expect their customers to visit dealerships for such upgrades.
In the same fashion, e-commerce leaders like Alibaba and Amazon have integrated AI technology with their logistics and inventory controls to improve and refine their supply chain operations. Through the deployment of warehouse automation directly coupled with the anticipated demand forecasting, the use of drones for product delivery, Amazon's efficiency far exceeds that of traditional retailers (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

Technology-focused businesses also implement blockchain technology to safeguard financial transactions further increasing security and transparency. The emergence of cryptocurrencies, alongside DeFi (Decentralized Finance) platforms, challenges traditional banking systems with unprecedented speed and enhanced security for payment processing.

Firms that incorporate new technologies into their practices are able to venture into new markets, enhance their scalability, and retain a lasting edge over competitors. Those businesses that do not embrace these technologies

end up lagging them and struggling to catch up with more innovative and flexible competitors (Porter & Kramer, 2011).

Enhanced Collaboration

With the advancements of technology, collaboration improves as it eliminates communication barriers, allowing for seamless teamwork. Digital collaboration tools assist organizations with remote teams to improve productivity and keep efficiency high, no matter where they are situated. Google Workspace, Microsoft 365, and Slack are examples of cloud computing platforms that support real-time collaboration so that teams can work on shared projects without any restrictions pertaining to their physical location.

For remote and hybrid work settings, Zoom and Microsoft Teams offer video conferencing functionalities that facilitate the communication needs of employees across different countries efficiently. These tools also include screen sharing, virtual whiteboards, and document editing which allow remote collaboration to be as effective as in-person meetings (Prosci, 2020).

To help streamline processes, organizations use project management tools like Trello, Asana, and Monday.com, which allow them to track work and task assignment. These tools improve the order within teams and ensure that projects are completed efficiently by fostering enhanced transparency and accountability within the teams (Kinder, 2021).

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a heightened reliance on digital collaboration tools that help enhance productivity and coordination in the workplace (Salamzadeh, et al., 2023). Investments made by organizations into digital collaboration solutions foster agile and resilient work environments, ensuring productivity is maintained regardless of location.

3 Methodology

Research Design

This study adopted the qualitative design (Yin, 2014) The researcher was able to study the problem in three different organizations and the challenges and opportunities associated with effective technology adoption and utilization, from the perspective of management by focusing on selected organizations using a multiple case study design (Hunziker & Blankenagel, 2021; Yin, 2014). The participants' individual views and experiences were explored using various data collection techniques and procedures in the three organizations. This type of design was suitable for the study because it allowed the researcher to: (a)understand the challenges and opportunities associated with effective technology adoption and utilization (b) address the practices of the phenomenon, and (c) provide additional information to the available literature (Yin, 2014).

Data Collection

The data was collected using interviews from the three organizations. According to Yin (2014), interviews are considered “one of the most important sources of case study evidence” (p. 110), and the data collected provide the researcher with information about human actions. The purpose of the interviews used in this study was to collect data and hear participants' statements about the details associated with implementing technology change into an organization.

Data Analysis

Thick case descriptions, which serve as the foundation for qualitative investigation and reporting, were the first method the researcher employed (Patton, 2015). After gathering information from the interviews, the researcher transcribed the data. He then arranged the data and searched for recurring themes (Creswell, 2013) using the Insight7 software. The researcher employed coding as a second data analysis tool (Patton, 2015). To code the data, the researcher employed a coding system (Yin, 2014). The data, which was divided into smaller categories and given a code, was represented by codes that were found (Creswell, 2013). The researcher employed inductive analysis, which incorporates cross-case analysis, as his third method of data analysis (Creswell, 2013; Patton, 2015; Yin, 2014). This analysis is significant because it provides "a strategy for engaging in qualitative inquiry and comparative case analysis that identify patterns of behaviors, interactions, and perceptions" (Patton, 2015, p. 592).

Trustworthiness was established through various measures. Triangulation was accomplished by identifying common themes from participants' interviews, documents, and artifacts. Memoing was used to document ideas and themes, and the transcriber used intercoder agreement to analyse data and compare coding results across interview participants. Member checks were used to ensure data was accurate and peer reviews were conducted by external parties to confirm trustworthiness.

4 Results

Integration of Challenges and Opportunities

Several challenges and opportunities were also identified in this study. The respondents mentioned several challenges associated with technology change implementation such as delays in the timeline, problems in data migration and technical integration, inadequate resources and insufficient training. Respondents emphasized the importance of having adequate resources for planning, testing, and resource allocation to maximize the outcome. One responded noted that challenges were resolved through making changes in the schedule, enhanced training and proper distribution of resources. Another responded stated that initial needs assessments, planning, training, testing, and periodic check-ins during the implementation stages were conducted to ensure that challenges are avoided.

Higher user satisfaction and engagement, streamline workflows and improved operational efficiency, opportunity for better data management and more informed decision-making all presented opportunities for organizations when implementing technological changes. Respondents pointed out that proactive management together with empowered employees and embracing a culture of open communication could be used to take full advantage of these opportunities. For example, one respondent noted that having more flexible methods of pilot testing could be beneficial, stating that phased implementation of technology allows for process refinement and smoother adaptation.

This is in line with the ADKAR model especially on awareness, desire and knowledge, and explains the techniques used to overcome obstacles and seize opportunities. It also complements the literature promoting the focus on resource allocation and optimisation as well as strategic planning as key factors for success (Prosci, 2023; Kotter, 1996).

Managerial Implications

The implications of this study can be utilized by managers, leaders and organizations battling with the challenges surrounding changes in technology. The findings provide a plan for comprehensive and multi-faceted organizational strategies by analysing successful approaches and challenges toward the effective planning, implementation and deployment of technological changes in organizations. Practically, this study offers relevant suggestions for businesses that want to improve how they manage technological changes. It is essential for leaders to be involved in change processes by providing purposeful communications, ongoing training, and fostering interdepartmental cooperation.

This study highlights the importance of management commitment in the implementation of a technological change initiative. It is revealed that in order to create the right amount of vision, urgency, and direction needed for employees during change processes, managers are required to exercise a set of highly adaptive, proactive, and at times, hyperactive styles of leadership. Digital transformation provides a powerful testimony of how leaders procure and dispose resources, form partnerships and ensure the changes are sustained over time. Additionally, adopting strategic leadership in advancing to technology has proved effective in a bid to maintain competitive advantage in the market. Some of these strategies involve sponsoring activities and practices that support the achievement of new ideas and change such as promoting freedom and risk-taking ventures. Furthermore, organization leadership that creates a flexible environment can enhance creativity in an organization while those that do not easily collapse symbolizing the danger of not responding to change as technology evolves.

Another implication of this study to management is the importance of implementing strategic communication in order to control resistance and promote employee involvement. The results demonstrate that using multichannel communication techniques that combines traditional methods like town hall meetings with modern resources such as intranets, multimedia, and collaborative platforms is very critical in technological change initiatives. The study show that strategic communication builds trust, reduces resistance and binds employees to organizational goals. Using these techniques practically means not just sharing information but also actively listening to employee feedback and addressing challenges.

Furthermore, the practical implications of this study are that effective change in technology must incorporate adequate training and development. Managers must direct their attention towards designing and introducing specialized training courses to equip the employees with adequate skills for modern technological devices. Such programs should be directed toward building confidence and competence in the employees through the use of hands-on training, job simulations and other forms of feedback systems.

This study has also shown that, effective use of resources is one of the most critical factors contributing to the successful implementation of technological change initiatives in organizations. Financial, human and technological resources must be made available by the organization to facilitate the implementation, acceptance and maintenance of new systems. Likewise, in developing countries like Zambia where managers are in resource-constrained situations, like the e-government programs, managers must find new ways of getting around infrastructure limitations by utilizing offline systems and solar powered technologies.

Another practical implication of this study concerns cultural change as an element of transformation. It is the responsibility of the managers to develop an organizational culture which promotes flexibility, creativity, and learning. This involves dealing with changing employee perceptions, confronting emotional barriers and encouraging the acknowledgement of desired actions through positive reinforcement and rewards.

This study has also shown the importance of continuous monitoring and feedback for a successful implementation of technological change initiatives in organizations. There should be a strong assessment criteria set by managers to measure success, highlight challenges and revise certain tactics accordingly. This approach guarantees that the change efforts yield the desired results while cultivating a healthy environment full of innovation and change.

To summarize, the managerial implications of this study illustrate the concrete steps that organizations have to take in order to successfully achieve a technological change. It appears that enabling the transformation requires leadership commitment, purposeful communication, customized training, financing, cultural change and constant monitoring. With the adoption of such practices, managers can overcome prevailing challenges, boost employee participation and facilitate the sustained adoption and use of advanced technologies.

Management Strategies for Effective Technology Adoption

One of the most effective strategies is to engage employees using open and transparent communications in order to reduce resistance. Managers who prioritize clear, frequent communication help employees understand the purpose and benefits of the change. Respondents consistently mentioned that knowing why changes were being made helped them feel more connected to the project goals and reduced uncertainty. For example, one respondent noted that management's transparency and focus on employee benefits played a significant role in gaining user adoption. Practical steps involve holding regular briefings and updates to explain the objectives and expected impact of the change. Use of multiple communication channels (e.g., emails, meetings, forums) to keep employees informed and allow for two-way feedback is also very critical in engaging employees. Additionally, employee questions and concerns should be addressed openly to build trust and reduce misinformation.

Furthermore, involving employees early in the change process encourages employees to feel a sense of ownership, which reduces resistance and fosters engagement. Respondents highlighted that when employees were included from the beginning, they were more receptive and proactive about the change. One respondent described how involving employees at every stage fosters a supportive atmosphere, which led to better project alignment and reduced resistance. Practical steps include conducting focus groups or surveys with employees before implementing changes to gather their insights and address concerns. Involve employees in planning and decision-making processes, especially those directly impacted by the change. Establish feedback loops throughout the project to encourage continuous involvement and refinement based on employee input.

Training and development are essential to ensuring employees feel capable and confident with new technologies. Respondents, emphasized that structured training reduced resistance and improved the overall adoption rate. For instance, one respondent pointed out that training helped employees adapt and feel more comfortable with the change. Without sufficient training, employees may feel overwhelmed, leading to greater resistance.

Additionally, practical steps involve implementing hands-on training sessions that allow employees to familiarize themselves with new tools or systems. Management should offer role-specific training to ensure that each department or team receives relevant knowledge and skills. Also provide access to resources like user guides, FAQs, and continuous learning opportunities for ongoing support.

Fostering a culture of collaboration and support is vital for successful change implementation. When employees work together toward shared goals, resistance tends to decrease as team members support one another and learn from each other. Respondents highlighted the benefits of a collaborative environment, noting that management's support fostered a collaborative environment where everyone felt responsible. This approach not only minimizes resistance but also strengthens team dynamics. This can be done by creating cross-departmental teams to encourage collaboration and knowledge sharing during the change process. Team-building activities that help employees form supportive relationships should be encouraged. Furthermore, there is need to recognize and reward team efforts that align with the change objectives, reinforcing positive contributions to the process.

Furthermore, employees are more likely to engage with a change initiative when they understand the personal and organizational benefits. By clearly articulating how the change will improve both individual roles and organizational outcomes, managers can reduce resistance and increase engagement. For instance, one respondent found that understanding the impact of changes on customer satisfaction helped align employees with project goals. This can be done through **c**ommunicating specific examples of how the change will positively impact daily tasks, workload, and job satisfaction. Managers should show the broader organizational impact, such as increased efficiency, improved customer satisfaction, and long-term growth. It is also important to **s**hare success stories from other teams or departments that have already experienced the benefits of similar changes.

A phased approach can minimize resistance by allowing employees to adjust gradually, making the change process less overwhelming. Several respondents noted that gradual implementation made it easier for teams to adapt without disrupting ongoing tasks. This approach helps employees acclimate to each phase of the project before moving on to the next, reducing anxiety and increasing acceptance.

Continuous feedback and recognition help employees feel appreciated and supported, which builds commitment to the change process. Recognizing employee contributions and addressing their feedback fosters a positive environment, increasing engagement. Some respondents highlighted that manager who provided feedback loops and acknowledged employee input improved morale and encouraged active participation. Scheduling regular check-ins and feedback sessions to allow employees to express their concerns and suggestions is very helpful. There is also need to acknowledge and celebrate milestones or accomplishments, reinforcing positive behaviour and engagement. Managers should also provide constructive feedback that reinforces learning, motivates improvement, and fosters a growth mindset.

5 Conclusions

Summary of key challenges and opportunities in technology adoption.

The study identifies a number of challenges, including resistance of employees to change due to fear of job loss, insufficient training, limited digital literacy and infrastructure constraints such as unreliable internet and

electricity supply. However, it also highlights the opportunities for organisations to build a competitive edge through technological change. By addressing these challenges with strong training programmes, clear communication strategies, and investment in infrastructure, organisations can unlock more productivity, innovation, and efficiency. These findings are in line with the literature which highlights the interaction between organisational culture, management and external factors in driving change.

Emphasis on the critical role of management in fostering a supportive environment for technology utilization. Managers can minimise resistance and encourage engagement by adopting best practice, such as open and transparent communication, providing consistent training opportunities, and involving staff in decision-making. Recognition and remuneration of employee contributions during the changeover process has also been a key driver. Theoretical models such as ADKAR emphasise the importance of awareness building, motivation and reinforcement of positive behaviours, all of which have been confirmed by the findings of this study.

The practical recommendations presented in this study provide valuable guidance to managers, organisations and policy makers. Strategies such as promoting transformational leadership, implementing tailor-made training programmes and embedding change in the corporate culture can significantly increase the probability of successful transitions. In addition, insights into policy development, such as improving digital infrastructure, promoting digital literacy and fostering cooperation, address systemic barriers that may be preventing progress. As technological change continues to shape the organisation's landscape, this study highlights the importance of efficient and inclusive change management strategies. The findings highlight the need to foster adaptability and innovation at all levels of the organisation, supported by clear communication, pro-active management and a commitment to continuous improvement.

In an increasingly dynamic world, the ability to cope with technological change is not only an operational necessity but also a strategic imperative. The study contributes to the debate by highlighting the interaction of human, cultural and systemic factors and provides a comprehensive plan to promote resilience and drive sustainable growth in the face of continuing technological progress.

Final thoughts on the limitations and need for management to strategically approach technology adoption for long-term success.
The study provided valuable insights into the dynamics of technological change and highlighted the critical roles of management support, employee engagement, training and adaptation, technological impact and challenges associated with change. The qualitative and multi-case study design enabled a thorough examination of these themes, drawing on the experiences of three organisations. The rich, contextual data produced by this approach have illuminated the interplay of factors that make technological change possible or impossible to achieve and offer a comprehensive understanding that may be difficult to capture by other methods.

However, the study had some limitations. The choice of qualitative approach, while beneficial in terms of depth and detail, inherently limits the applicability of the findings. The focus on three organisations has provided comprehensive case studies, but may not fully reflect the diversity of experience across sectors, regions and sizes of organisations. For example, factors influencing technological change in smaller enterprises or organisations in the private sector may be different from those seen in the sample of the study.

Furthermore, although the survey covered a wide range of managerial and staff views, it was limited in scope by the data collection. The reliance on interviews and documentary analysis, while effective, may have missed some informal dynamics or tacit resistance to change. Moreover, the study focused mainly on internal organisational processes, with limited examination of external factors such as economic conditions, technology ecosystems or regulatory environments, which may also have a significant impact on technological change.

Despite these limitations, the study contributes significantly to understanding technological change and highlights the importance of proactive management, transparent communication and strong training initiatives. Integrating theoretical frameworks such as the Lewin change management model, the Kotter eight step model and the ADKAR model, this research provides a solid basis for both academic investigation and practical application. It also opens up avenues for future research, and suggests the need for cross-sectoral and cross-regional comparative studies and longitudinal research to assess the long-term impact of the technology change initiatives.

In conclusion, although the findings of the study are contextually specific, they provide valuable insights which can inform both practice and policy. The identified constraints serve as a stepping stone for further research and underline the dynamic and multi-faceted nature of technological change in organisations.

References

Aslam, U., Muqadas, F., Imran, M. K., & Saboor, A. (2018). Emerging organizational parameters and their roles in implementation of organizational change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. doi:doi:doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-08-2017-0300

Bal, P. M., Wageman, R., Jim, j., & Galinsky, A. D. (2019). Transparency in change: When and how multiple communication channels enhance employee engagement. *Academy of Management Journal, 62*(4), 1321-1349.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W W Norton & Co.

Bryson, J. M. (2007). What to do when stakeholders matter: A guide to stakeholder identification and analysis techniques. *Public Management Review*, 21-53. doi:doi.org/10.1080/14719030410001675722

Carrillo, P. M., Robinson, S., & Carrillo, J. E. (2017). Why training and development fail: A case study of organizational change management. *Journal of Business Studies, 38*(3), 391-410.

Chiguvi, D. (2022). Factors influencing employees' resistance to change in the mining sector in Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability, 11*(8), 267-284.

Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative Enquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (3rd ed.). Los Angels: Sage.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Cummings, T., & Worley, C. (2014). *Organization development and change*. Cengage learning.

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). *Artificial Intelligence for the Real World*. Retrieved from Harvard Business Review (HBR): https://www.bizjournals.com/boston/news/2018/01/09/hbr-artificial-intelligence-for-the-real-world.html

Figueroa-Flores, J. R., Acosta-Gonzaga, E., & Ruiz-Ledesma, E. F. (2020). Causes of Failure in the Implementation and Functioning of Information Systems in Organizations. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 11*(6). doi:10.14569/IJACSA.2020.0110618

Hunziker, S., & Blankenagel, M. (2021). *Research Design in Business and Management: A Practical Guide for Students and Researchers*. Springer Gabler Wiesbaden.

Kinder, J. (2021). *Pulse of the Profession®2021*. Project Management Institute. Retrieved from https://www.pinnaclemanagement.com/blog/pmi-pulse-of-the-profession-report-review

Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.

Kotter, J. P., & Schlesinger, L. A. (2008). *Choosing Strategies for Change*. Retrieved July 5, 2024, from Harvard Business Review: https://hbr.org/2008/07/choosing-strategies-for-change

Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding Customer Experience Throughout the Customer Journey. *Journal of Marketing, 80*(6), 69-96. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0420

Lewin, K. (1951). *Field theory in social science: selected theoretical papers*. D. Cartwright., Ed.).

Macri, K. P. (2018). *Case study: How Tesla changed the auto industry*. Retrieved from Supply Chain Dive: https://www.supplychaindive.com/news/case-study-how-tesla-changed-the-auto-industry/517251/

Macri, K. P. (2018). *Case study: How Tesla changed the auto industry*. Retrieved from Supply Chain Dive: https://www.supplychaindive.com/news/case-study-how-tesla-changed-the-auto-industry/517251/

Madsen, S. R., Miller, D., & John, C. R. (2005). Readiness for organizational change: Do organizational commitment and social relationships in the workplace make a difference? *Human Resources Development Quarterly, 16*(2), 213-234. doi:doi/10.1002/hrdq.1134

McConnell, S. (2006). *Software estimation: Demystifying the black art.* Redmond, WA:: Microsoft Press.

Musaigwa, M. (2023). The Role of Leadership in Managing Change. *International Review of Management and Marketing, 13*(6), 1-9.

Ngantchou, P. N. (2016). Impact of the Information and Communication Technologies on workers' behaviors: an experimental investigation. *Economics and Finance*.

Oreg, S. (2006). Personality, context, and resistance to organizational change. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 15*(1), 73–101.

Patton, M. (2015). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publishing.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). *Creating Shared Value; How to reinvent capitalism and unleash a wave of innovation and growth*. Harvard Business Review.

Prosci. (2020). *Best Practices in Change Management 11th Edition: Prosci Benchmarking Report 1863 Change Leaders Share Lessons and Best Practices in Change Management.* Prosci Research.

Prosci. (2023). *The Prosci ADKAR Model*. Retrieved June 24, 2024, from Prosci Web site: https://www.prosci.com/methodology/adkar

Salamzadeh, A., Mortazavi, S., Hadizadeh, M., & Braga, V. (2023). Examining the effect of business model innovation on crisis management: the mediating role of entrepreneurial capability, resilience and business performance. *Innovation & Management Review*, 132-146. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1108/INMR-11-2021-0213

Spring, K. (2021). *Overcoming resistance to change within your organization*. Retrieved March 9, 2024, from BetterUp: https://www.betterup.com/blog/resistance-to-change

Tarkian, S. (2019). *The digital transformation of Siemens.* Retrieved from https://repositorio.ucp.pt/handle/10400.14/29166

Topol, E. (2019). *Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again*. Basic Books.

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly, 36*(1), 157-178.

Vitanova, I. (2021). Nurturing overconfidence: The relationship between leader power, overconfidence and firm performance. *Leadership Quarterly, 32*(4). doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101342

Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case study research: Design and methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Zafar, F., & Naveed, K. (2014). Organizational change and dealing with employees' resistance. *International Journal of Management Excellence*, 237-246.

Zoe Talent Solutions. (2023, June 22). *Zoe Talent Solutions*. Retrieved June 21, 2024, from Effective change management strategies: https://zoetalentsolutions.com/effective-change-management-strategies/